1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 Plaintiff, 5 vs. NO. CR-05-1849 JH 6 DANA JARVIS, 7 Defendant. 8 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9 January 19, 2006 10 BEFORE: THE HONORABLE JUDITH C. HERRERA United States District Judge 11 APPEARANCES 12 For the Plaintiff: 13 James R.W. Braun Stephen R. Kotz 14 Assistant United States Attorneys 15 P.O. Box 607 Albuquerque, NM 87103 16 For the Defendant: 17 Judith A. Rosenstein 18 Attorney at Law P. O. Box 25622 19 Albuquerque, NM 87125-0622 20 21 22 23 24 25

PAUL BACA
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
(505) 348-2228

1

THE COURT: Please be seated.

3

2

We're on the record in U.S.A. versus Jarvis,

4

CR-05-1849.

Kennedy.

5

MR. BRAUN: Good morning again, Your Honor. James Braun and Steve Kotz on behalf of the United States.

7

THE COURT: Mr. Braun, Mr. Kotz.

8

MS. ROSENSTEIN: Judith Rosenstein on behalf of Mr.

9

Jarvis. Also present at the table are Mr. Gorence and Mr.

10

11

12

THE COURT: All right. I think we're going to take

13

up the motion for, motion to withdraw, Miss Rosenstein.

MS. ROSENSTEIN: Your Honor, the main reason that I'm

14

making this request is the fact that I don't believe that my

15

office is capable of handling complex cases at this time.

16 17 When we had to renew our application for CJA work about a year or so ago I did not apply or request to be put on a complex

18

case calendar; I was, nonetheless. The reason I didn't is

19

because I do not have a staff. I don't have a secretary, I

20

don't have a runner, I don't have any staff at all. And when

21

I was assigned to this case I had no idea about how complex it

22

really was. And, in fact, if I were to stay on this case I

23

would pretty much have to give up all my other work. And I have, since leaving the Public Defender's Office I've been

2425

trying to cut back on the work as it is. But this case is

massive, Mr. Jarvis is the lead defendant in the case there are more allegations against him than anyone. There is a lot of forfeiture issues and so on and so forth. So I just believe that if I were forced to remain in the case that it's conceivable, from no fault of my own, but I'm concerned about how effective I could possibly be under the circumstances with no staff. Now, I know I've asked for, and the Court has now approved of, various additional assistance on the case, however, I still believe that my circumstances are such that I am not an effective counsel for Mr. Jarvis.

The other point I wanted to make was, I have spoken to several attorneys who are on the complex litigation list for CJA. Initially I thought Mr. Gorence would be able to, but I am disabused of that notion. However, both Billy Blackburn and Joe Romero have indicated to me that they would be willing to take such a case. They're both on the complex litigation calendar. The reason I did this is because I wanted to make sure that Mr. Jarvis had counsel that had staff, that had experience in doing this kind of thing, and both of these gentlemen are highly thought of and have done this type of work and believe that they could do it.

I also wanted the Court to be assured that they're -and of course the Court can appoint whomever the Court feels
is appropriate if I am allowed to withdraw, but I wanted to be
able to supply some names to the Court of people on the

complex litigation calendar who are willing to take it and are willing to take it immediately. That's pretty much -- and I believe that my client agrees that that this is not the, I'm not the appropriate counsel for him under all the circumstances.

THE COURT: I would note that I did receive a letter from Mr. Jarvis dated December 18, 2005 requesting other counsel.

MS. ROSENSTEIN: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Braun, anything on behalf of the government?

MR. BRAUN: The government has no objection to Miss Rosenstein's request.

THE COURT: I will grant Miss Rosenstein's motion to withdraw and will refer the matter to the magistrate for appointment of other counsel.

Other issue in this case deals with defendant Jarvis's motion to release assets and for leave to retain counsel. And I've reviewed that motion. I've reviewed the government's motion to strike the motion. If you would like to comment on either of the motions I'll give you the opportunity to do so.

MR. GORENCE: Your Honor, to cure the government's motion to strike, Mr. Kennedy and I would file, and would file in open court, a limited entry of appearance and seek leave

for you to approve that to the extent that we can litigate the motion that's been filed. I've provided a copy to the government to cure the local rule, I would file it in open court, and at least allow Mr. Jarvis to have a meaningful Sixth Amendment right to retain counsel of his choice, which would then get into the merits of the motion we've filed with regard to a Jones hearing. And I can address that in some detail in terms of a suggestion that how this indictment is structured, but I see Mr. Braun is up and will defer to that, at least on the jurisdictional issue, do we have standing, Mr. Kennedy and I, to even argue this.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Braun.

MR. BRAUN: Well, Your Honor, I don't think that Mr. Gorence's limited entry of appearance cures the first defect and resolves the government's motion to strike. First the Court needs to rule under Local Rule 44.1(g) on whether to allow this limited entry of appearance. If it does, the motion should still be stricken. The clerk's office should have never accepted it for filing. Mr. Gorence should have never filed it without first obtaining leave of the Court. He can then refile his motion if the Court grants his limited entry of appearance.

But on the issue of whether to grant that limited entry of appearance, I would note that in his letter to the Court Mr. Jarvis listed several attorneys that he would be

comfortable representing him, including Mr. Gorence and Jackie Robins, both of whom are on the CJA panel. And Mr. Gorence has indicated that he has the time to take this type of case, he's on the CJA panel, the Court can simply appoint him or the Court can simply appoint Jackie Robins, who Mr. Jarvis has also indicated he would be comfortable with, or the two lawyers that Miss Rosenstein mentioned, Billy Blackburn or Joe Romero, and that would solve this whole problem by just appointing those. So I don't think the Court needs to grant the limited entry of appearance, it can simply appoint an attorney on the CJA panel who is competent to handle this type of case.

MR. GORENCE: Your Honor, to answer that, and I think obviously the Sixth Amendment contemplates counsel of choice if an individual has the wherewithal to hire counsel. I, notwithstanding Miss Rosenstein's motion, I think there was a communication snafu, but I was not interested in this case as a CJA matter. I have informed the clerk's office that I'm on the panel but I don't do narcotics cases across the board on a CJA matter. In fact, the last one I had was with Mr. Braun and I have indicated that I would rather, actually in my mind, just do Native American cases and things of that nature. So I'm not interested as a CJA representation but, more fundamentally, Miss Rosenstein is absolutely correct in looking at the breadth of this indictment. The -- what's at

stake in this case for Mr. Jarvis, and it's not only my opinion but it's my colleague's Mr. Kennedy, that Mr. Jarvis needs the services of both of us to represent him on this continuing criminal enterprise indictment among other charges and with the serious ramifications, and we're of the belief that Mr. Jarvis has the financial wherewithal to hire us if the Court were to grant the motion to release assets that have been seized.

The structure of the indictment, Your Honor, has, well, there's numerous property, both personal property and real estate, that has, the government has indicated it either seeks to forfeit as directly traceable or as substitute assets. I think preliminarily with regard, you can see from the indictment, the Mora County property that the government has filed les pendens on under the theory that if they are successful in obtaining the money judgment, those are substitute assets preliminarily, without even showing that they are traceable, those ought to be released and this Court ought to order the les pendens removed so that Mr. Jarvis can retain counsel of his choice. And it's not --

THE COURT: Before we get to that issue let me just say that I did refer to the fact that I reviewed the letter submitted by the defendant and I did note, in reviewing that, there are a number of lawyers that he indicated he would consider to be appropriate to defend this case. So what I'm

going to do is, I'm going to basically take it one step at a time. I'm going to, as I indicated, not only allow Miss Rosenstein's withdrawal, but he will be appointed other CJA counsel. What I'm going to do then is let his new counsel review this issue and we can take up the issue of releasing assets at a later time. So that's what I'm going to do.

MR. JARVIS: May I speak, please?

THE COURT: You may speak through Miss Rosenstein, she's representing you today but she will be withdrawing.

MS. ROSENSTEIN: Mr. Jarvis wants the Court to know that he is the defendant and he would very much like to be able to retain Mr. Gorence and Mr. Kennedy in this matter with his own personal funds, which will save the government a lot of money.

THE COURT: Well, what we're going to do is, we're going to get new counsel appointed and then that's an issue that you and your new counsel can take up. And we're going -- and that's the way I'm going to proceed in this matter.

Anything else?

MR. BRAUN: Not for the government, Your Honor.

MS. ROSENSTEIN: Does the Court want me to submit an order on my motion?

THE COURT: I have a draft order.

MS. ROSENSTEIN: Oh, okay.

THE COURT: Let me show it to you. And it is a

PAUL BACA
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
(505) 348-2228

draft, but if it meets with both of your approvals you can let me know and I'll enter it. So I'll let you review that.

MR. BRAUN: I guess, Your Honor, my only question would be whether the government's motion to strike was then granted and the resolution of the limited entry of appearance?

THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Braun.

MR. BRAUN: Just for the record, whether the government's motion to strike Mr. Gorence's motion is granted and the resolution of the limited entry of appearance.

THE COURT: Well, I will grant the motion to strike, however, I recognize that I, as I've indicated, the motion can be revisited after new counsel is appointed. So I think it's up to -- so you all are free to submit another motion, obviously.

Anything else?

MR. BRAUN: Not for the government.

MS. ROSENSTEIN: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right, we'll be in recess.

(Court stood in recess.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, PAUL BACA, a court reporter for the United States, do hereby certify that I reported the foregoing case in stenographic shorthand and transcribed, or had the same transcribed under my supervision and direction, the foregoing matter and that the same is a true and correct record of the proceedings had at the time and place.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and that I have no interest whatsoever in the final disposition of this case in any court.

WITNESS MY HAND this 8th day of December, 2006.

Official Court Reporter

PAUL BACA
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
(505) 348-2228